U.C. Dhyani, J.

Mr. M.C. Kandpal, Sr. Advocate assisted by Mr. Maneesh Bisht, Advocate for the petitioner.

By means of present contempt petition, the petitioner seek to punish the respondent / opposite party for committing deliberate and willful disobedience of the order dated 18.03.2016, passed by this Court in Writ petition no. 685 (M/S) of 2016, titled as Kartik Mazumdar vs State of Uttarakhand and another.

The operative portion of the order sought to be executed is being reproduced here-in-below for convenience:

"Looking at the record of the case, writ petition is disposed of by requesting the appellate court to make an endeavour to decide the above said Appeal no. 52/02, Year 2014-15 (old no. 52/19, Year 2014-15), at an early date. The same does not mean that the case shall be given an out of turn hearing and will have precedence over other similarly situated cases. The appellate court has only to keep in mind the fact that present Original Suit, which is of the year 2015, does not lose track and is not ignored in the backdrop of a large number of pending cases in said court."

It is the submission of learned counsel for the petitioner that the casual approach on the part of respondent should not be tolerated and strict action should be initiated against the alleged contemnor.

This Court, instead of issuing notice to the respondent / opposite party, reiterates the order dated 18.03.2016, passed by the Court and directs the respondent / opposite party to comply with the order dated 18.03.2016.

Petitioner is directed to place a copy of this order, as also the order dated 18.03.2016 to remind the respondent that an endeavour has to be made by him to decide the Appeal no. 52/02, year 2014-15 (old no. 52/19, Year 2014-15).

With the observation as above, the contempt petition stands disposed of.

(**U.C. Dhyani, J.**) 29.04.2016