

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 2893 of 2012 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 29.07.2016**

Sunil Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Arvind Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vipul Aggarwal, Senior Panel Counsel
for respondents No. 1 to 3.

Mr. Indresh Goel, Advocate for respondent No. 4.

P.B. Bajanthri, J.(Oral)

In the present petition, the petitioner has questioned the rejection slip issued by respondent No. 3 dated 15.11.2011 (Annexure P-6).

The petitioner is one of the candidate for recruitment to the post of Constable (General Duty) for the year 2011 pursuant to the advertisement dated 05.02.2011 issued by Indo Tibetan Border Police Force (ITBPF). The advertisement stipulates age criteria for the candidates, '18 to 23 years as on 01.08.2011'. Further it stipulates that the candidates should not have been born earlier than 02.08.1988 and not later than 03.07.1993. Petitioner's date of birth is 14.04.1988, therefore, he is overage about 4 months and as such he is not eligible to the post advertised pursuant to the advertisement dated 05.02.2011.

In view of the above facts and circumstances, there is no

infirmity in the order dated 15.11.2011 (Annexure P-6) rejecting the candidature on the sole ground of overage. The petitioner has not made out a case so as to interfere in the impugned order dated 15.11.2011 (Annexure P-6).

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

July 29, 2016.
kanchan

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No

Whether reportable? Yes/No