

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 110

CRM-M-46810-2016

Decided on : 30.12.2016

Pooja Sharma and another

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of UT, Chandigarh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Ms.Harpinder Kaur Sandhu, Advocate, for the petitioners.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only at this stage.

Mr.Sukant Gupta, Addl.P.P., for UT, Chandigarh, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Learned counsel for the petitioners undertakes to supply four sets of the paper book to learned counsel for respondents No.1 to 3, during the course of the day.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have married each other against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 9 and on account of this act on their part, they are under threat of being eliminated at the hands of respondents No.4 to 9. In view of the above, seeking protection, a representation dated 21.12.2016 (Annexure P-4) was made on behalf of the petitioners to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh but to no avail.

In the above circumstances, the petitioners have approached this Court through the present petition.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter, particularly the validity of the alleged marriage, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh (respondent No.2) is directed to forthwith consider the aforesaid representation dated 21.12.2016 (Annexure P-4) made on behalf of the petitioners and thereafter take appropriate action on the same as is deemed fit in accordance with law to ensure the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

30.12.2016
shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes / No

Whether reportable

:

Yes / No