

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.105

CRM-M No.46790 of 2016
Date of decision: 30.12.2016

Harpreet Handa and anotherPetitioners

versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Ms. Akanksha Yadav, Advocate for
Mr. Harsh Kinra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have married each other against the wishes of respondent No.4 i.e. brother of petitioner No.2 and on account of this act on their part, they are under threat of being eliminated at the hands of respondent No.4.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that since the threat to their life and liberty is at the hands of respondent No.4, they have no other option but to rush before this Court before approaching any other authorities including respondents No.2 and 3.

Without expressing any opinion on merits of the matter, particularly the validity of the alleged marriage, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala (respondent No.2) is directed to forthwith consider the the grievance of the petitioners raised in the present petition and thereafter take appropriate action on the same as is deemed fit in accordance with law to ensure the protection of life and

liberty of the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

Learned counsel for the petitioners undertakes to supply a complete set of paper book to respondent No.2 for compliance.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

December 30, 2016

Jyoti 1

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No