

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-29068 OF 2016
DATE OF DECISION : 31st AUGUST, 2016

Hans Raj & others

.... Petitioners

Versus

Rohtash & another

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Dr. Anand Kumar Bishnoi, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail in criminal complaint No.58-I dated 01.10.2012 filed under Sections 341, 307, 148, 149 and 427 IPC titled Rohtash Vs. Hansraj & others before JMIC, Hisar.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners.

It is not necessary to issue notice to other side. However, I have heard learned State counsel.

In a private complaint filed in respect of the incident of 2012, the petitioners have been summoned as accused and have apprehension of arrest as the Court has made summoning order to the petitioners in that case.

In my view, looking to the time lag and looking to the nature of offence lodged against the petitioners it would be appropriate to allow the petitioners to be on anticipatory bail during the trial.

In view of the matter, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Hence, I make the following order:

ORDER

- (i) The petition is allowed.
- (ii) The petitioners be released on anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the trial Judge.
- (iii) The petitioners shall appear before the trial Court till completion of the trial.
- (iv) The petitioners shall not tamper or influence the prosecution witnesses.
- (v) In case of violation of the above condition the liberty is reserved to apply for cancellation of bail.

31st AUGUST, 2016
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No.