

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA

R.P. No. 16 of 2016

Date of Decision: 29.2.2016

Singhu Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh

Respondents

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajiv Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?

For the petitioner : Mr. Anuj Nag, Advocate.

For the respondent : Mr. Parmod Thakur, Additional Advocate General.

Rajiv Sharma, Judge (oral):

CMP(M) No. 55 of 2016

Heard.

In view of the grounds taken in the application duly supported by an affidavit and in the interest of justice, delay in filing the present review petition is condoned. The application stands disposed of.

Rev. Petition No. 16 of 2016

There is no error apparent on the face of record. Consequently, the present petition does not fall within the ambit of Order 47 Rule 1 CPC and the same is dismissed. No costs.

**(Rajiv Sharma),
Judge**

February 29 2016
(kalpana)

