

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No. 2931 of 2016

Decided on: 30.11.2016

Mohan Lal Chouhan

...Petitioner.

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh and others

...Respondents.

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Mansoor Ahmad Mir, Chief Justice.

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?

For the petitioner: Mr. Raju Ram Rahi, Advocate.

For the respondents: Mr. Shrawan Dogra, Advocate General,
Mr. Anup Rattan & Mr. Romesh Verma, Additional Advocate Generals,
and Mr. J.K. Verma & Mr. Kush Sharma, Deputy Advocate Generals, for
respondents No. 1 to 5.

Mansoor Ahmad Mir, Chief Justice. (Oral)

It is stated that the respondents have drawn some inquiry relating to the irregularities committed in execution of

the Lift Water Supply Scheme (Annexure P-4), but, the same has not been taken to its logical end.

2. The moot questions are – whether the writ petition is maintainable and whether the petitioner can file the instant writ petition as public interest litigation? We leave these questions open.

3. However, we deem it proper to dispose of this writ petition by directing the respondents-State to examine Annexure P-4, as per the Rules occupying the field and take the inquiry to its logical end within eight weeks.

4. The writ petition is disposed of accordingly alongwith all pending applications.

(Mansoor Ahmad Mir)
Chief Justice

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

November 30, 2016

(rajni)