

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH SHIMLA.

CWP No. 1425 of 2015.
Date of decision: 31st May, 2016.

AbhishekPetitioner
Versus
State of HP and others Respondents.

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Mansoor Ahmad Mir, Chief Justice
The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Tarlok Singh Chauhan, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting ?¹

For the petitioner: *Mr. Rajesh Verma, Advocate.*

For the respondents: *Mr. Shrawan Dogra, Advocate General with Mr. Anup Rattan and Mr. Romesh Verma, Additional Advocate Generals, with Mr. J.K. Verma, Deputy Advocate General, for respondents No. 1, 2 and 4.*
Mr. Surender Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.3..

Mansoor Ahmad Mir, Chief Justice (Oral)

It is moot question-whether the writ petition is maintainable. However, we leave this question open at this stage and deem it proper to direct the respondents to examination the representation filed by the petitioner (Annexure P4), and make decision within one week. Ordered accordingly.

¹ *Whether the reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?.*

2. Needles to say that in case, the decision goes against the petitioner, he is at liberty to challenge the same in accordance with law. Dasti copy.

(Mansoor Ahmad Mir)
Chief Justice.

May 31, 2016.
(cm Thakur)

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge.