

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA.**

**CWP No. 1020/2008**  
**Decided on March 31, 2016**

---

Surjeet Singh Burji

.... **Petitioner**

Versus

Union of India and another

.... **Respondents**

---

Coram:

**Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajiv Sharma, Judge**

Whether approved for reporting? <sup>1</sup>

---

**For the petitioner** : Mr. Atul Jhingan, Advocate.

**For the respondents** : Mr. Angrez Kapoor, vice Counsel, for respondent No. 1-Union of India.

Mr. Parmod Thakur, Additional Advocate General with Mr. Neeraj K. Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, for the respondent No. 2-State.

---

**Rajiv Sharma, Judge (oral):**

Mr. Atul Jhingan, Advocate submits at the Bar that in case of other similarly situate persons/firms, i.e. M/s Cousins, Mandi (HP) and M/s Ayodhya Co., Munger, Bihar, licence was bifurcated. If that is so, respondents are directed to consider the case of the petitioner also, strictly on the analogy of the aforestated cases, within a period of 8 weeks from today, by passing a speaking/detailed order.

2. With the aforesaid stipulation, the present petition is disposed of. Pending applications, if any, are also disposed of.

**(Rajiv Sharma)**  
**Judge**

**March 31, 2016**  
(vikrant)

---

<sup>1</sup> Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?