

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

**RSA No. 617 of 2005.
Decided on: 31st May, 2016.**

Moti Ram (since deceased through LRs) & Others

.....**appellants.**

Versus

Kuldeep Singh & Others

.....**Respondents.**

Coram

***The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dharam Chand Chaudhary, Judge.
Whether approved for reporting?*¹ No.**

For the appellant : Mr. Lakshay Thakur, Advocate.

**For the respondents : Mr. Sanjeev Kuthiala, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 7.**

**Respondents No.8 to 10 & 19 to 25
ex parte.**

Dharam Chand Chaudhary, J. (oral).

Steps for the service of respondents No.11 to 18, 26 to 30 and 32 have not been taken despite opportunities granted. Consequential steps on the death of respondents No.31 have also not been taken. Learned counsel submits that despite efforts made including the intimation given through registered letter, no one has turned up to impart instructions. The

¹ Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes.

appellant seems to be no more interested to prosecute this appeal any further. The same is accordingly dismissed for want of prosecution. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**(Dharam Chand Chaudhary),
Judge**

May 31, 2016 (ps)