

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO.10583 OF 2015

Prashant Sitaram Shinge	..Petitioner
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra and ors	..Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.11823 OF 2015

Mrs. Megha Prajakt Mahimkar	..Petitioner
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra and anr	..Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.1668 OF 2016

Shri Vinay Kumar Singh and ors	.Petitioners
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra and anr	..Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.1707 OF 2016

Shri Usmani Qazi Faridul Haq	.Petitioners
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra and anr	.. Respondents.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.2246 OF 2016

Shri Mohammad Zubair Mohammad	.Petitioners
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra and anr	.. Respondents.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.3797 OF 2016

Ms. Monica Amarlal Sangtani	.Petitioners
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra and anr	.. Respondents.

Mr. N. V. Bandiwadekar, a/w Mr. Sagar Mane and Mr. M.G. Bagkar, for Petitioners.

Mrs.M. P. Thakur, AGP for the Respondent State.

**CORAM : RANJIT MORE &
DR. SHALINI PHANSALKAR JOSHI, JJ.**

DATE : 31st MARCH, 2016.

P. C. :

1. Heard Mr. Bandiwadekar, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mrs. M. P. Thakur, learned AGP for the State..

The issue involved in all these petitions is similar to the issue involved in Writ Petition No.2207 of 2016. We have disposed of today the said Writ Petition by passing a detailed speaking order.

3. In that view of the matter, all these petitions are allowed. The impugned orders in all these petitions are quashed and set aside; Respondent No.2 in these petitions is directed to consider afresh the proposal submitted by respondent institution, for approval to the appointment of petitioners. Respondent No.2 shall take decision as expeditiously as possible and in any case within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

4. All concerned shall act on the authenticated copy of this order.

[DR. SHALINI PHANSALKAR JOSHI, J.]

[RANJIT MORE, J.]