

Conc.No.135/2016

29/7/2016

Shri Shashank Patwari, learned counsel for the applicant.

Shri Nitin Phadke, learned counsel for the respondents.

The respondent No.2 has filed the reply. In the reply the respondent No.2 has stated that in compliance of the order passed by this Court, the respondent has considered the claim for regularization made by the applicant and has rejected the same vide order dated 20.6.2016.

In view of the reply filed by the respondent No.2, nothing survives in the present Contempt Petition. The present Contempt Petition is, therefore, **disposed of**. However, liberty is granted to the applicant to file appropriate application.

C.c. as per rules.

(Ms.Vandana Kasrekar)
Judge

moni