

NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**

**CRIMINAL REVISION NO. 110 OF 2016**

Rajesh Ram son of Devnarayan Ram, aged about 17 years, caste-Rautiya, resident of village Sahidand, Police Station Narayanpur, District Jashpur (CG) minor through legal guardian father Devnarayan Ram son of Sirbal Ram, aged about 36 years, caste-Rautiya, resident of village Sahidand, Police Station Narayanpur, District Jashpur (CG).

**... Applicant**

**Versus**

The State of Chhattisgarh, through Station House Officer, Police Station-Bagicha, District Jashpur (CG).

**... Non-applicant**

---

For Applicant	:	Shri JK Saxena, Advocate.
For Respondent-State	:	Ms. M.Asha, Panel Lawyer.

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**

**Order on Board**

**29/04/2016**

1. Heard learned Counsel for the Applicant and the Non-applicant.
2. The present Criminal Revision has been preferred challenging the order dated 19.01.2016 passed in Criminal Appeal No. 02 of 2016 by the Additional Sessions Judge, Jashpur. The Additional Sessions Judge has vide impugned order affirmed the order of the Juvenile Justice Board, Jashpur, rejecting the bail application on 23.12.2015 in Crime No. 65 of 2014.
3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that earlier he had preferred Criminal revision No.306 of 2015 which was disposed of on 30.07.2015 directing the trial court for an expeditious trial. He further

submits that in spite of above direction, proceedings before the court below is progressing at a very slow pace.

4. The nature of allegation against the applicant is quite serious. From perusal of record what is explicit is that the applicant is an accused of offence under Sections 302 and 376 IPC as also Section 3(2-5) of the Schedule Caste and Schedule Tribe (Prevention of Atrocities) Act.

5. Taking into consideration the gravity of offence alleged to have been committed by the applicant, this court is not inclined to release the applicant on bail. However, in view of order dated 30.07.2015, the trial court should take note of the fact that the direction for expeditious trial was ordered about 9 months back, therefore, it should ensure that the case is concluded at the earliest by resorting to all the powers which are conferred upon the court under the Cr.P.C. for an expeditious trial.

6. With the aforesaid direction, the criminal revision stands finally disposed of.

**Sd/-  
(P. Sam Koshy)  
JUDGE**