

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**

**REVP No. 182 of 2015**

M/s Sarguja Rail Corridor Private Limited , Adani Group, Ketka Road, Behind Shyam Mandir, Surajpur, Revenue And Civil District Surajpur, Chhattisgarh .....(Respondent No.7)

**---- Petitioner**

**Versus**

1. Gopal S/o Late Ram Bhagat, Aged About 62 Years R/o Village Dumaria, Post, P.S. & Tehsil Surajpur, Revenue And Civil District Surajpur, Chhattisgarh
2. Sant Kumar S/o Late Ram Sunder, Aged About 30 Years R/o Village Dumaria, Post, P.S. & Tehsil Surajpur, Revenue And Civil District Surajpur, Chhattisgarh
3. Nand Kumar S/o Late Ram Sunder, Aged About 32 Years R/o Village Dumaria, Post, P.S. & Tehsil Surajpur, Revenue And Civil District Surajpur, Chhattisgarh
4. Phoolmati D/o Late Ram Sunder, Aged About 27 Years R/o Village Dumaria, Post, P.S. & Tehsil Surajpur, Revenue And Civil District Surajpur, Chhattisgarh
5. Indra Kunwar W/o Late Ram Sunder, Aged About 50 Years R/o Village Dumaria, Post, P.S. & Tehsil Surajpur, Revenue And Civil District Surajpur, Chhattisgarh
6. Dhansai S/o Late Ram Bhagat, Aged About 60 Years R/o Village Dumaria, Post, P.S. & Tehsil Surajpur, Revenue And Civil District Surajpur, Chhattisgarh .....(Petitioners No. 1 To 6)
7. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Department Of Industries/ Revenue, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Revenue And Civil District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
8. Collector, Sarguja, Revenue And Civil District Sarguja, Chhattisgarh
9. Land Acquisition Officer, Thermal Power Project, Premnagar/ Bhaiyathan, Head Office Ambikapur, Revenue And Civil District Surguja, Chhattisgarh
- 10.S.D.O. (Revenue), Surajpur, Revenue And Civil District Surajpur, Chhattisgarh
- 11.Tehsildar, Surajpur, Revenue And Civil District Surajpur, Chhattisgarh
- 12.Executive Engineer (Civil), C.S.P.G.C.L., Bishrampur, Revenue And Civil District Surajpur, Chhattisgarh .....

**---- Respondents**

**Petition for review**

**(By Circulation in Chamber)**

**Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Manindra Mohan Shrivastava, J.**

**(Order passed on 29.01.2016)**

Considered the review petition in chamber.

Direction has been issued to respondent authorities.

The petitioner is not the authority but only one of the respondent. Rehabilitation is required to be ensured by State authorities and not by private respondent.

The petition is misconceived in law and therefore dismissed.

Sd/-

**(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)**

**J U D G E**