## HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

## Order Sheet

## WPS No. 2021 of 2016

## Ashish Kumar Kotriwar Versus State Of Chhattisgarh

		_
31/05/2016	Shri Vinay Pandey, counsel for the petitioner.	Ť
	Shri B. Gopa Kumar, Dy. Advocate General for the State.	
	Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the	е
	petitioner has filed a representation for his consideration to	
	the post of Naib Tahsildar in pursuance of the examination	า
	conducted by the respondent-CGPSC as he stood at the	Э
	top of the wait list. The candidate who was selected for the	Э
	Naib Tahsildar has declined to join the said post and thus,	5,
	the candidature of the petitioner being at the top of the wait	it
	list should have been considered for selection and	d
	appointment.	
	Learned Counsel for the respondent-CGPSC submits that	ıt
	the petitioner has not challenged the recruitment process	s
	and neither can he claim appointment merely being in the	е
	wait list.	
	Be that as it may, it is for the respondent-CGPSC either to	
	fill up or not to fill up the vacancies available from the	Э
	candidates in the wait list. The petitioner has no	
	indefeasible right to claim appointment merely on the basis	s
	of his name being reflected in the wait list. The petitioner	r
	ought to have waited for the decision on his	s

	representations and after the decision, if any grievance still
	remains, it is open for the petitioner to take appropriate
	steps under the law.
	With the aforesaid observation, this petition stands
	disposed of.
	Sd/-
	(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
	Vacation Judge
Nisha	
	<u>l</u>
