W.A. No. 353 of 2016 31.08.2016

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, we are of the opinion that no useful purpo se would be served by keeping this appeal pending.

The only request of learned counsel for the appellant is that the writ petition (W.P.(C) No.8171 of 2016) may be decided early as the appellant is going to retire on 31st October, 20 16.

It is submitted that while deciding the earlier Writ Appeal No.254 of 2016 on 23.06.2016, this Court had requested the writ Court to take up the matter on 30.06.2016, if there was no other impediment.

From perusal of the order-sheet of the writ petition (W.P.(C) No.8171 of 2016), it trans pires that on 30.06.2016, the petitioner was directed to take steps for service of notice on o pposite parties no.3 and 4 by speed post. Office note dated 21.07.2016 in the writ petition further shows that the notices have been served on opposite parties no.3 and 4 and they are representing through their counsel. As such, we dispose of the writ appeal directing that the writ petition may be listed before the assigned Bench for hearing on 08.09.2016. We further request the writ Court to decide the writ petition as expeditiously as possible.

Urgent certified copy of this order be granted on proper application.

..... (VINEET SARAN)

CHIEF JUSTICE

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)

JUDGE