

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

**B.A.No.3986 of 2016**

Sujeet Sharma .. Petitioner.  
Versus  
State of Jharkhand ....Opp.Party.

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMITAV K.GUPTA**

For the Petitioner : M/s.A.K.Sinha(4),Advocate.  
For the State : APP.

**05/29.09.2016** The petitioner is an accused for the offence registered under Sections 392/120(B) IPC .

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is in custody since 6.12.2013. That earlier the prayer for bail of the petitioner was rejected in B.A.NO.6713/2015 giving liberty to the petitioner to renew his prayer for bail if the trial was not concluded within six months. It is submitted that till date the trial has not been concluded and the case is fixed for appearance of co-accused who has absconded during trial.

Learned A.P.P has opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that the trial is in progress.

Heard. From the status report called for it transpires that co-accused's bail bond has been cancelled and the case is fixed for appearance of the co-accused. It also transpires that only one witness has been examined and the petitioner is in custody since 6.12.2013. Considering the period of custody, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of District & Addl. Sessions Judge-I, Dumka in connection with S.C.NO.52 of 2013(arising out of Dumka(Nagar) P.S.Case No.149/2009) subject to the condition that he shall remain present in the court below as and when directed till the conclusion of the trial failing which the trial court is at liberty to issue notice and hear the petitioner on the point of cancellation of bail.

**(Amitav K. Gupta,J)**

*Biswas.*