

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No. 2024 of 2016

Ram Chandra Ram @ Manjhi Ram .... Petitioner  
Versus

The State of Jharkhand ... Opposite Party

---

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY

---

For the Petitioner : Mr. S. K. Samanta, Advocate  
For the State : Mr. Vikash Kishore, A. P.P.

---

**Order No. 06**

**Dated 29<sup>th</sup> April 2016**

Heard Mr. S. K. Samanta, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mr. Vikash Kishore, learned A.P.P., appearing for the State.

Earlier the prayer for bail of the petitioner was rejected vide order dated 26.11.2015 passed in B.A. No. 9119 of 2015.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that in fact the informant had suffered simple injuries and that the petitioner does not have any concern with the burn injury suffered by the informant. It has also been submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 17.08.2015.

Learned A.P.P. appearing for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Regard being had to the fact that the injury sustained by the informant is opined to be simple in nature by the Doctor as also the period of custody of the petitioner, the petitioner, named above, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned CJM, Giridih in connection with Bagodar P.S. Case No. 332 of 2015 corresponding to G.R. Case No. 2640 of 2015.

(RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY, J.)