WP(C) 5131/2016 BEFORE THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJIT BHUYAN

Heard Mr. M.A. Sheikh, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Ms. P. Das, learned counsel representing respondent nos. 1, 2 and 3. Mr. R. Dhar, learned counsel represents respondent nos. 4 and 5.

Matter pertains to appointment on compassionate grounds. Petitioner's father die d-in-harness way back on 29.06.1996 and consequent thereof he applied for appoin tment on compassionate grounds on 21.08.1996. It is submitted that eventually the petitioner's case had come up for consideration before the District Level Comm ittee and in terms of the Minutes thereof dated 05.04.2007, due recommendation was made for appointment of the petitioner on compassionate grounds by the District Level Committee. Grievance raised is that until this date, nothing is forthcoming in that regard.

The petitioner has chosen to approach this Court after about 9 years from the da te of recommendation made by the District Level Committee. The petitioner has sh own lack of diligence in pursuing his case. Many years having elapsed, a presump tion can be drawn that the petitioner has been able to sustain himself over the years. The Scheme for compassionate appointment requires immediate redressal to the distressed family. In the present case, although recommendation had been made by the DLC way back on 05.04.2007, the petitioner had chosen to sit over the matter. The inordinate delay on the part of the petitioner do not go to sub-serve the object and purpose of the Scheme governing compassionate appointment.

I find no sufficient ground to entertain this writ petition and, accordingly, the same stands dismissed. No costs.