

\$~1, 16 and 19 to 31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 238/2015

MAI CHAND

..... Petitioner

Through: Dr. Surat Singh and Mr. Brajesh
Kumar Singh, Advocates

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Sonia Arora, Advocate

AND

16. W.P.(C) 3446/2014 and C.M. Appl. 7067/2014 and 7923/2014

AJAY SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through: Dr. Surat Singh and Mr. Brajesh
Kumar Singh, Advocates

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Nandini Aggarwal, Advocate for
respondent

AND

19. W.P.(C) 7501/2014 and C.M. Appl. 17752/2014

ROSHNI

..... Petitioner

Through: Dr. Surat Singh and Mr. Brajesh
Kumar Singh, Advocates

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Arun K. Sharma and Mr. Amiet
Andley, Advocates for respondent
Nos.1 and 2
Mr. Jaiveer Maan, Reader to SDM

AND

20. W.P.(C) 7535/2014 and C.M. Appl. 17811/2014

SOM DUTT

..... Petitioner

Through: Dr. Surat Singh and Mr. Brajesh
Kumar Singh, Advocates

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Arun K. Sharma and Mr. Amiet
Andley, Advocates for respondent
Nos.1 and 2
Mr. Jaiveer Maan, Reader to SDM

AND

21. W.P.(C) 7543/2014 and C.M. Appl. 17820/2014

SUBHASH

..... Petitioner

Through: Dr. Surat Singh and Mr. Brajesh
Kumar Singh, Advocates

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Jaiveer Maan, Reader to SDM

AND

22. W.P.(C) 7555/2014 and C.M. Appl. 17833/2014

SURESH

..... Petitioner

Through: Dr. Surat Singh and Mr. Brajesh
Kumar Singh, Advocates

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

..... Respondents

4

Through: Mr. Arun K. Sharma and Mr. Amiet
Andley, Advocates for respondent
Nos.1 and 2
Mr. Jaiveer Maan, Reader to SDM

AND

23. W.P.(C) 129/2015 and C.M. Appl. 195/2015

VED

..... Petitioner

Through: Dr. Surat Singh and Mr. Brajesh
Kumar Singh, Advocates

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. D.P. Kaushik, Advocate
Mr. Jaiveer Maan, Reader to SDM

AND

24. W.P.(C) 133/2015 and C.M. Appl. 200/2015

AZAD SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through: Dr. Surat Singh and Mr. Brajesh
Kumar Singh, Advocates

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Jaiveer Maan, Reader to SDM

AND

25. W.P.(C) 134/2015 and C.M. Appl. 202/2015

BIJENDER & ANR

..... Petitioners

Through: Dr. Surat Singh and Mr. Brajesh
Kumar Singh, Advocates

versus

5

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR Respondents
Through: Mr. Jaiveer Maan, Reader to SDM

AND

26. W.P.(C) 136/2015 and C.M. Appl. 209/2015

RAJENDER ALIAS RAJ Petitioner
Through: Dr. Surat Singh and Mr. Brajesh
Kumar Singh, Advocates

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR Respondents
Through: Ms. Sonia Arora, Advocate
Mr. Jaiveer Maan, Reader to SDM

AND

27. W.P.(C) 137/2015 and C.M. Appl. 213/2015

RAMESHWAR SINGH Petitioner
Through: Dr. Surat Singh and Mr. Brajesh
Kumar Singh, Advocates

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR Respondents
Through: Mr. Siddharth Aneja proxy for Mr.
Sumit Chander, Advocates for
respondent Nos.1 and 2
Mr. Jaiveer Maan, Reader to SDM

AND

28. W.P.(C) 218/2015 and C.M. Appl. 341/2015

ANIL KUMAR & ANR. Petitioner
Through: Dr. Surat Singh and Mr. Brajesh
Kumar Singh, Advocates

versus

6

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR. Respondents
Through: Mr. Jaiveer Maan, Reader to SDM

AND

29. W.P.(C) 221/2015 and C.M. Appl. 349/2015

KHEM CHAND

..... Petitioner
Through: Dr. Surat Singh and Mr. Brajesh
Kumar Singh, Advocates

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR Respondents
Through: Mr. Jaiveer Maan, Reader to SDM

AND

30. W.P.(C) 219/2015 and C.M. Appl. 343/2015

JAGDISH & ANR

..... Petitioners
Through: Dr. Surat Singh and Mr. Brajesh
Kumar Singh, Advocates

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR Respondents
Through: Mr. Jaiveer Maan, Reader to SDM

AND

31. W.P.(C) 232/2015 and C.M. Appl. 358/2015

RAM KARAN

..... Petitioner
Through: Dr. Surat Singh and Mr. Brajesh
Kumar Singh, Advocates

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR Respondents

W.P (C) 238/2015

7

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Goyal and Mr. Abhijoy
Banerjee, Advocates for respondent
Nos.1 and 2
Mr. Jaiveer Maan, Reader to SDM

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.R. MIDHA

ORDER
30.01.2015

%

1. Writ petitioners in all these petitions are seeking hearing and adjudication of their applications under Section 74(4) of the Delhi Land Reforms Act for declaration of *bhoomidari* rights in respect of the land which is alleged to be allotted to them by the Government under the Twenty Point Programme. The petitioners are also seeking injunction against their dispossession till the decision of their applications.
2. Learned counsels for the respondents have taken instructions from the concerned SDM. Learned counsels confirm that the petitioners' applications under Section 74(4) of the Delhi Land Reforms Act are still pending and the learned SDM has given them instructions that it would take about six months' time to adjudicate them. It is further submitted that the Gaon Sabha has filed proceedings under Section 86A of Delhi Land Reforms Act seeking ejectment of the petitioners which are pending before the SDM. It is further submitted that it would be appropriate that the petitioners' applications under Section 74(4) as well as the proceedings under Section 86A of Delhi Land Reforms Act be heard and decided together.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection to this course of action.
4. In the facts and circumstances of these cases, these writ petitions are disposed of with the direction that the learned SDM shall expedite the


hearing of petitioners' applications under Section 74(4) of the Delhi Land Reforms Act as well as the proceedings under Section 86A of Delhi Land Reforms Act and endeavour to decide them in a period of six months. The pleadings of the parties be completed within a period of eight weeks.

5. Learned counsels for both the parties submit that the parties be directed to appear before the concerned SDM on a fixed date. Let the parties appear before the concerned SDM on 02nd March, 2015 when the learned SDM shall fix the dates for completion of pleadings and thereafter for hearing the matters.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that if any adverse orders are passed against the petitioners, they may be protected for a period of two weeks to enable them to avail the remedies available in law. Learned counsels for the respondents submit that the respondents do not take any coercive action during the period of limitation for filing the appeals.

7. The parties shall maintain status quo with respect to the possession of the properties till the adjudication of the petitioners' applications in terms of this order.

8. Copy of this order be given *dasti* to counsel for the parties under signatures of Court Master.



J.R. MIDHA, J.

JANUARY 30, 2015

rsk