

\$~39 to 42

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ O.M.P. 458/2007

M/S. NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA Petitioner
Through Ms. Madhu Sweta, Advocate with
Mr. Sumit Gupta and Mr. Saurabh
Bindal, Advocates

versus

ITD CEMENTATION INDIA LTD. Respondent
Through Ms. Rajashree, Advocate

+ O.M.P. 472/2007

M/S NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA Petitioner
Through Ms. Madhu Sweta, Advocate with
Mr. Sumit Gupta and Mr. Saurabh
Bindal, Advocates

versus

ITD CEMENTATION INDIA LTD. Respondent
Through Ms. Rajashree, Advocate

+ O.M.P. 393/2008

M/S NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA (NHAI)
..... Petitioner
Through Ms. Madhu Sweta, Advocate with
Mr. Sumit Gupta and Mr. Saurabh
Bindal, Advocates

versus

ITD CEMENTATION INDIA LTD. Respondent
Through Ms. Rajashree, Advocate

4

+ O.M.P. 320/2009

M/S NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA Petitioner
Through Ms. Madhu Sweta, Advocate with
Mr. Sumit Gupta and Mr. Saurabh
Bindal, Advocates

versus

ITD CEMENTATION INDIA LTD Respondent
Through Ms. Rajashree, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VALMIKI J. MEHTA

ORDER

% **31.07.2015**

I.A. No.15355/2015 (u/O 23 Rule 1 CPC) in O.M.P.458/2007

I.A. No.15356/2015 (u/O 23 Rule 1 CPC) in O.M.P.472/2007

I.A. No.15357/2015 (u/O 23 Rule 1 CPC) in O.M.P.393/2008

I.A. No.15358/2015 (u/O 23 Rule 1 CPC) in O.M.P.320/2009

1. These are the applications for withdrawal of the petitions in view of the settlement entered into between the parties.
2. In view of the settlement, the applications are allowed and the petitions are disposed of as withdrawn. All the pending applications also stand disposed of.


VALMIKI J. MEHTA, J

JULY 31, 2015

nn