## IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL WRIT PETITION (S/B) No. 295 of 2015

Jai Pal Singh ......Petitioner.

Versus

State of Uttarakhand and others.

.....Respondent

Mr. Mangal Singh Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. P.C. Bisht, Standing Counsel for the State of Uttarakhand / respondent no. 1.

Mr. D.S. Patni, Advocate for respondent nos. 2 and 3.

Coram: Hon'ble K.M. Joseph, C.J.

Hon'ble U.C. Dhyani, J.

**JUDGMENT** 

**Date:** 31<sup>st</sup> July, 2015

## K.M. Joseph, C.J. (Oral)

Petitioner seeks to challenge the order dated 27.07.2015, by which, the petitioner has been transferred and he seeks ancillary reliefs in regard to the same. According to the petitioner, he has only fifteen months to retire; he is a person, who has obeyed the orders of transfer in his entire career and he should not have been transferred.

- 2. We heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and also Sri D.S. Patni, learned counsel for respondent nos. 2 and 3.
- 3. In absence of any allegation of *mala fide* or violation of the statute, we dispose of the writ petition by permitting the petitioner to represent his grievance before the third respondent within a period of one week from today and on receipt of the same, the third respondent will look into his grievance and decide the same in accordance with law within a further period of two weeks.

(U.C. Dhyani, J.) 31.07.2015

(K.M. Joseph, C.J.) 31.07.2015

Rathour