IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 8841 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of decision: 30.04.2015

Satnam Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

Heard.

The learned State counsel informs that no increment of the petitioner has been withdrawn by the respondents.

However, the learned counsel for the petitioner states that the written statement filed by the respondents is against the record and does not deal with the assertions raised in the representation (Annexure P-3).

In the circumstances, without adverting to the merit of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner to file a representation for redressal of his grievance before respondent No.2, who shall decide the same, by passing a speaking, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

30.04.2015

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN) JUDGE

KUMAR SUMIT 2015.05.04 16:49 I attest to the accuracy and authenticity of this document