

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.4921 of 2011
Date of Decision : 30.06.2015

Satyapal

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Arjun Partap Atma Ram, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned State counsel has brought to the notice of this Court that respondent No.2-Secretary, Haryana Staff Selection Commission has passed an order dated 15.06.2015 whereby appointment of respondent No.3 has been cancelled as he was not possessing the minimum degree of disability i.e. 40% in Blind and Low Vision Category as per CS instructions dated 01.01.1999.

Learned State counsel has also handed over a copy of order dated 15.06.2015 as well as the proceedings and states that the present petition has become infructuous.

In view of order dated 15.06.2015 as well as the submission made by learned State counsel, the present petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as having become infructuous.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

June 30, 2015

sonia g.

SONIA GUGNANI
2015.07.02 10:11
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document