Civil Writ Petition No.11947 of 2015

{1}

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.11947 of 2015

Date of Decision: May 29, 2015

BPS, Mahila Vishwavidyalaya, Khanpur Kalan, Tehsil Gohana, District

Sonepat & another

...Petitioners

Versus

Krishna Devi & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:

Mr. Tribhuvan Dahiya, Advocate,

for the petitioners.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The question raised in the present writ petition is whether the

Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972 (for short "the Act") would be applicable to

the employees of the petitioners.

Mr. Tribhuvan Dahiya, learned counsel appearing on behalf the

petitioners submits that Section 2(e) of the Act envisages that the Act would

only apply in case there are no rules and in case there are rules, the person

would be entitled to payment of gratuity as per rules. He further submits that

the husband of respondent No.1 unfortunately, while in harness, died on

7.7.2010 and for incorporating the element of gratuity in the rules, the

petitioners had submitted an application to Higher Education Department on

RAMESH KUMAR 2015.06.04 16:33 I attest to the accuracy and authenticity of this document High Court Chandigarh Civil Writ Petition No.11947 of 2015

{2}

25.4.2013. He also submits that as per Rule 5(2) of 2006 Rules, the family

shall be entitled to receive family pension as per the normal rules only after

the period during which he/she receives the financial assistance.

Learned counsel further submits that as per Rule 5(2) of the

Haryana Compassionate Assistance to the Dependents of Deceased

Government Employees Rules, 2006 (for short "2006 Rules"), respondent

No.1 is receiving the monthly financial assistance and cause of action to

claim gratuity would arise to an employee on his/her superannuation. He

further submits that if the deceased would have been alive, he would have

retired on 30.6.2015. Respondent No.1 invoked the jurisdiction of the

Controlling Authority under the Payment of Gratuity Act, Circle-I, Sonepat

in the year 2011 and the said authority, after contest, passed the order by

holding that respondent No.1 is entitled to gratuity and interest to the tune

of ₹52,359/-, to be paid within a period of 30 days, failing which it would

entail interest @9% per annum on the amount of gratuity. The said order, as

per the learned counsel for the petitioners, had been assailed by filing an

appeal (Annexure P-10), which has also been dismissed vide order dated

25.7.2014.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and

appraised the paper book.

It is a matter of record that on the date of the death of the

husband of respondent No.1, there was no element of gratuity in the

prevailing rules and, therefore, rightly so the provisions of Section 2(e),

which define the definition of 'employee' have been invoked and, thus, the

family of the deceased would be entitled to claim gratuity as per the

Civil Writ Petition No.11947 of 2015

{3}

provisions of the Act.

Since the petitioners have suffered a statement before this

Court that respondent No.1 is being provided financial assistance as per

Rule 5(2) of 2006 Rules, she would be entitled to gratuity only w.e.f.

1.7.2015 when her husband would have superannuated on 30.6.2015. The

aforementioned contention has some force and accordingly I dispose of the

present writ petition by issuing directions to the petitioners to continue to

pay the financial assistance to respondent No.1 till 30.6.2015 and thereafter

the order of the authority shall be made effective and respondent No.1 shall

be entitled to gratuity as ordered by the Controlling Authority along with

interest.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the

requirement of law, before filing an appeal, the amount assessed by the

Controlling Authority has to be deposited with the Appellate Authority and

the same is stated to have been deposited. He submits that the said amount

be refunded so that the same can be further transferred/handed over to

respondent No.1 after 30.6.2015. The amount so deposited is directed to be

refunded to the petitioners, who are further directed to make the payment of

the gratuity as ordered by the Controlling Authority within a period of thirty

days commencing from 1.7.2015, failing which it shall entail interest @9%

per annum.

May 29, 2015 ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL) JUDGE

RAMESH KUMAR 2015.06.04 16:33 I attest to the accuracy and authenticity of this documen High Court Chandigarh