117 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-37145-2015

Date of Decision : 31.10.2015

Mamta Rani and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Hardial Singh Baath, Advocate

for the petitioners.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the

Cr.P.C. is for issuance of a direction to the official respondents to protect

the life and liberty of the petitioners and not to harass them at the instance

of respondent Nos.4 and 5.

The petitioners have got married against the wishes of their

They are stated to be major. In support of her proof of age

petitioner No.1 has placed on record an extract of her Matriculation

Examination Certificate (Annexure P-1) wherein her date of birth is

mentioned as 25.06.1996 and petitioner No.2 has placed on record an

extract of his Aadhar Card (Annexure P-2) depicting his year of birth as

1989. They have also moved a representation before the Commissioner of

Police, Jalandhar (Annexure P-5) for protection of their life and liberty.

NAGPAL .31 15:42 co the accuracy and city y of this document arh

CRM-M-37145-2015

-2-

Without adverting to the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2, Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar to take appropriate action on the said representation

(Annexure P-5).

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

October 31, 2015 sunita

(AJAY TEWARI) JUDGE