In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

.....

Criminal Misc. No.M-33642 of 2015

••••

Date of decision:30.11.2015

Anu Ram Sharma

....Petitioner

V.

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

....

Present: Mr. Jagraj Singh Khiva, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Shivali, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab for the respondent-State.

Mr. Jagmeet Singh, Advocate for complainant-respondent No.2.

. . . .

Inderjit Singh, J.

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying for quashing of FIR No.96 dated 13.9.2014 (Annexure-P.1) registered for the offences under Sections 498-A and 323 IPC at Police Station Bareta, District Mansa and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise.

The marriage of the complainant was solemnized with petitioner Anu Ram Sharma on 12.10.2013 as per Hindu rites and ceremonies. However, due to temperamental differences between the husband and wife, matrimonial dispute arose and the above said FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant-Neetu against her husband.

Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matrimonial dispute

HARPAL SINGH PARMAR 2015.12.05 15:31 I attest to the accuracy and authenticity of this document Chandigarh

Cr. Misc. No.M-33642 of 2015

[2]

has been amicably settled between the parties and they have entered into a

compromise.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a

compromise, they were directed to appear before learned trial Court for

getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. After doing

the needful, learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Budhlada, has sent her

report dated 23.11.2015 submitting that the compromise arrived at between

the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is

genuine one.

Learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, on instructions

from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the complainant-

respondent No.2 admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case

the parties have indeed settled their matrimonial dispute, the State would

have no objection to the quashing of the FIR in view of the law laid down

by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as

learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab and learned counsel for

complainant-respondent No.2 and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a

loser. Rather, compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the

parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After

considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that

both the parties have amicably settled their matrimonial dispute,

continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the

Cr. Misc. No.M-33642 of 2015

[3]

chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Therefore, keeping in view the fact that the matrimonial dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Cr.) 543, this petition is allowed and FIR No.96 dated 13.9.2014 (Annexure-P.1) registered for the offences under Sections 498-A and 323 IPC at Police Station Bareta, District Mansa and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are hereby quashed.

November 30, 2015.

(Inderjit Singh) Judge

hsp