

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 31081 of 2014 (O&M)  
Date of decision : February 27, 2015

Sabana and another,

..... Petitioners

v.

State of Haryana and others,

..... Respondents

\*\*\*

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI**

\*\*\*

Present : None for the petitioners.

Mr. RK Makkad, DAG Haryana

\*\*\*

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

\*\*\*

**Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)**

This petition was filed for protection on the ground that the petitioners married each other and apprehended danger to lives from the family members of petitioner No.1.

Reply has been filed by the State of Haryana wherein it has been mentioned that the petitioners have already been provided protection and that the State would be further prepared to provide them protection if need arises.

Counsel for the respondent states that even the petitioners have made a statement that their families have reconciled to their marriage and at this stage they do not require any protection.

In these circumstances, this petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous. In case as per the reply filed by the State, the petitioners still feel threat to their lives, the State would provide them necessary protection.

( AJAY TEWARI )

**February 27, 2015**

**JUDGE**

**'kk'**