## IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA & THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH

\* \* \*

WRIT PETITION No. 31736 of 2015
BETWEEN
B.V.Kullayappa
PETITIONERS
AND
The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Principal Secretary and others
RESPONDENTS
Date of Order pronounced: 30.09.2015
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR
Whether Reporters of Local newspapers Yes/No
may be allowed to see the Judgments?
2. Whether the copies of judgment may be Yes/No
marked to Law Reporters/Journals?
3. Whether his Lordship wish to see the Yes/No
fair copy of the Judgment?

**ORDER:**-

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader for

Revenue.

2. This writ petition is directed against the notice issued by the Revenue Divisional Officer

(RDO), third respondent, dated 14.08.2015 in an appeal preferred by the fifth respondent.

Petitioner questions the entertainment of appeal, primarily, on the ground that he has

purchased the said land on payment of market value and as such he acquired the

ownership of land and cannot be treated as an assigned land.

3. Even if that be so, it is open for the petitioner to approach the third respondent, raise all

his contentions, and defend his claim in the said appeal. If the petitioner raises any such

contention, there is no reason to doubt that the third respondent would not deal with the said

contention and would not decide the matter in accordance with law.

I have no reason to entertain the writ petition against the said notice.

With the liberty aforesaid, the writ petition is dismissed. As a sequel, the miscellaneous

applications, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR, J

September 30, 2015

LMV