

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT:**

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.HARILAL**

**WEDNESDAY, THE 9TH DAY OF APRIL 2014/19TH CHAITHRA, 1936**

**CRP.No. 500 of 2009 (B)**  
-----

**AA 36/2006 of APPELLATE AUTHORITY (LR), ALAPPUZHA  
SM 71/2000 OF LAND TRIBUNAL, CHERTHALA**

**REVISION PETITIONER(S)/4TH RESPONDENT/B PARTY NO.4:**  
-----

**SATHI AMMA, W/O.LATE UNNIKRISHNAN NAIR,  
AGED 62 YEARS, RANDU PURAYIL, VELIYANADU NORTH P.O.  
ALAPPUZHA.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.K.S.HARIHARAPUTHRAN  
SRI.M.D.SASIKUMARAN  
SRI.GEORGE MATHEW**

**RESPONDENT(S)/A PARTY & RESPONDENTS 1-3/B PARTY NO.1-3 & STATE:**  
-----

- 1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY THE  
CHIEF SECRETARY, STATE SECRETARIAT,  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**
- 2. E.V.KUTTAPPAN, GEETHA BHAVAN,  
KUNNUMMA VILLAGE, VELIYANAD NORTH P.O., ALAPPUZHA.**
- 3. KALLIANI AMMA, (DIED),  
W/O.VELAYUDHAN PILLAI, PUTHIYAPATTIL  
VELIYANADU NORTH P.O., ALAPPUZHA.**
- 4. KARUNAKARAN PILLAI, (DIED),  
PUTHIYAPATTIL, VELIYANADU NORTH P.O., ALAPPUZHA.**
- 5. MADHAVIKUTTY AMMA, (DIED),  
PUTHIYAPATTIL, VELIYANADU NORTH P.O., ALAPPUZHA.**

**R1 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. P.V. ELIAS  
R2 BY ADV. SRI.R.AZAD BABU  
R2 BY ADV. SMT.K.PRASEETHA**

**THIS CIVIL REVISION PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 09-04-  
2014, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:**

**OKB**

**K.HARILAL, J.**

-----  
**C.R.P. No.500 of 2009**  
-----

Dated this the 9<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2014

**ORDER**

Yesterday, when the case was taken up, there was no representation for the revision petitioner in the morning. The learned counsel for the respondents was present from the morning till the rising of the court. So the case was passed over. After lunch, one counsel who represented the concerned counsel for the petitioner made a submission to adjourn the case. But, this Court was not inclined to adjourn the case and again the case was passed over. But, thereafter, there was no representation. Today also, there is no representation for the petitioner. Today also, the counsel for the respondents is present. So, I find that the petitioner is not interested to prosecute the matter. Hence this CRP is dismissed for default.

Sd/-  
**(K.HARILAL, JUDGE)**

**okb.**