

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH
SHIMLA

Cr. WP No.18 of 2015

Date of Decision: 30.10.2015

Shakshi and another.

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh and others.

.....Respondents.

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajiv Sharma, Judge.

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sureshwar Thakur, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the Petitioners: Mr. Ajay Sharma, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. M.A. Khan, Addl. Advocate General with Mr. P.M. Negi, Dy. Advocate General and Mr. Ramesh Thakur, Asstt. Advocate General, for respondents No.1 to 5.

Mr. Naresh Kaul, Advocate, for respondents No.10 and 11.

Rajiv Sharma, J (oral)

Mr. Ajay Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the reply filed on behalf of the respondents-

¹ Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

...2...

State, the present petition has become infructuous. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed as infructuous. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

**(Rajiv Sharma),
Judge.**

**(Sureshwar Thakur),
Judge.**

October 30, 2015
(rkv)