

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA**

**CWP No. 2819 of 2015.**

**Decided on: May 30, 2015.**

---

**Dharam Singh & ors.**

**.... Petitioners.**

**Versus**

**Union of India & anr.**

**..... Respondents.**

---

***Coram***

**The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Karol, J.**

**The Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.S. Rana, J.**

***Whether approved for reporting?<sup>1</sup>***

---

**For the Petitioners : Mr. Ajay Mohan Goel, Advocate.**

**For the respondents : Mr. Vivek Singh Thakur, Senior Panel Counsel for Union of India.**

---

**Sanjay Karol, J. (Oral)**

Limited grievance made out by the petitioners herein, while assailing order dated 23.12.2012 is that petitioners stand discriminated inasmuch as persons referred to in Annexure P-6, who stand on same footing, have been considered and recommend for posting. Also petitioners have not been heard prior to passing of impugned order Annexure P-2. As such, as prayed for by learned

---

<sup>1</sup> ***Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the Judgment? yes.***

counsel for the petitioners, leaving all issues open, on this short ground alone, petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to consider and decide the petitioners' case afresh in the light of Annexure P-6.

2. Needless to add, all including petitioners, shall be afforded opportunity of hearing, if so required and desired. Decision which shall be taken in accordance with law, shall be communicated to the petitioners. Needful be done within a period of four weeks from the date of production of the copy of order. Liberty reserved to the petitioners to approach the Court, if need so arises subsequently.

3. With the aforesaid observations, present petition stands disposed of, so also pending application(s), if any.

*Copy Dasti.*

**(Sanjay Karol),  
Judge.**

**(P.S. Rana)  
Judge.**

**May 30, 2015,  
(vs)**