

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH  
SHIMLA**

CWP No. 8829 of 2012-C  
Date of Decision: 30.10.2015

---

Sh. Fatta Ram.	Versus	...Petitioner.
State of Himachal Pradesh & others		..Respondents.

---

*Coram:*

*The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Karol, Judge.*

*Whether approved for reporting?<sup>1</sup>No.*

For the Petitioner: Mr. Jitender Ranot, Advocate, for the petitioner.

For the Respondents: Mr. R.S. Verma, Addl. AG., with Mr.R.M.Bisht, Dy. AG., for respondents No.1 and 2-State.

Mr. Yudhbir Singh, Advocate, for respondents No.3 to 5.

---

Sanjay Karol, J (oral)

According to the respondents, matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this Court in CWP No. 2529 of 2012-C, titled as *Smt. Kala Devi Versus State of Himachal Pradesh & others*, on 31.12.2012 and CWP No. 8706 of 2012-A, titled as *Sh. Dehar Singh Versus State of H.P. & others*, on 20.03.2013. Learned counsel submits that petitioner's application is pending before the authorities.

2. As such, present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the petitioner's application, in accordance with the scheme framed by the respondents as

---

<sup>1</sup> Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

also the aforesaid decisions rendered by this Court, by affording due opportunity of hearing, within a period of two months from the date of production of certified copy of this order. Needless to add, if the order is not in favour of the petitioner, the authority shall assign reasons while deciding the same, which shall be communicated to the petitioner. Also petitioner is at liberty to place additional material, if need so arises subsequently.

Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

Copy dasti.

October 30, 2015  
(Purohit)

(Sanjay Karol),  
Judge.