

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No. 2724 of 2014.

Date of decision: 31.03.2015.

Bader Zin

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of H.P. and others

.....Respondents.

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Tarlok Singh Chauhan, Judge.

***Whether approved for reporting?*¹ No**

For the Petitioner : Mr. Balwant Singh Thakur, Advocate.

For the Respondents : Mr. Rupinder Singh, Additional Advocate General with Ms. Parul Negi, Dy. Advocate General.

Tarlok Singh Chauhan, J. (Oral).

It is represented by learned counsel for the petitioner that this case is squarely covered by the judgment passed by this Court in ***CWP No. 2735 of 2010, titled as Rakesh Kumar vs. State of Himachal Pradesh and others***, alongwith connected matters, decided on 28.7.2010, which judgment, in turn, has now been upheld by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

2. This aspect of the matter is required to be considered by the respondents. Accordingly, this writ petition itself is directed to be treated as representation to respondent No.2, who shall consider the same in light of the averments made therein and also taking into consideration the judgment passed by this Court in ***Rakesh Kumar's***

Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the Judgment?

case (supra) and make a decision within a period of six weeks from today.

3. Needless to add that the respondent No.2 shall pass a speaking and reasoned order. In the event of order being against the petitioner, she is at liberty to approach the Court/ Forum of a competent jurisdiction for the redressal of her grievances.

4. Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms, so also the pending application, if any.

March 31, 2015.
(GR)

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan),
Judge.