

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No. 2149 of 2015.

Decided on: 30th June, 2015.

Amit Prarthi & Others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of H.P. & Others

....Respondents

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dharam Chand Chaudhary, Judge.

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Tarlok Singh Chauhan, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹

**For the petitioner : Mr. Adarsh K. Vashisht, Advocate
vice Mr. Shyam Singh Chauhan,
Advocate.**

**For the respondents : Mr. Shrawan Dogra, A.G. with Mr.
Romesh Verma, Addl. A.G. and
Mr. Vikram Thakur, Dy. A.G.**

Dharam Chand Chaudhary, J. (oral).

This writ petition has been filed with the
following prayer:

“That the respondents may kindly be directed
to appoint the petitioners from August 2012
with all consequential benefits including
seniority.”

¹ Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes.

2. Learned counsel submits that the petitioners would feel content, in case liberty is granted to them to make a representation within a period of three weeks from today, bringing out their grievances to 3rd respondent.

3. Leaving the legal questions open, we allow the petitioners to approach the 3rd respondent-competent authority by way of making the representation within three weeks from today. The 3rd respondent shall consider and decide the same on merits, in accordance with law, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt thereof. Needless to add that the said respondent shall assign reasons, while deciding the representation, which shall be communicated to the petitioners. Liberty to the petitioners to approach this Court again, if still feel aggrieved.

4. With these observations, the writ petition stands disposed of, so also pending applications(s), if any.

**(Dharam Chand Chaudhary),
Judge**

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

June 30, 2015*(ps)*