

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 30TH DAY OF APRIL, 2015

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAGHVENDRA S. CHAUHAN

WRIT PETITION NOS.18724 TO 18726 OF 2015 (S-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. PROF V. KAMALA
D/O. LATE B. VENKATASUBBA RAO
AGED ABOUT 73 YEARS
RESIDING AT NO.491, 14TH MAIN ROAD
3RD BLOCK, KORAMANAGALA
BANGALORE-560034
(RETIRED PROFESSOR OF ZOOLOGY).
2. SRI A. R. MITRA
S/O. LATE A. RAMANNA
AGED ABOUT 80 YEARS
RESIDING AT NO. B-6, KHB COLONY
PUTTENAHALLI
YELANKA, BANGALORE-560064
(RETIRED PRINCIPAL & PROFESSOR OF KANNADA).
3. SRI M. SHANTHA KUMAR
S/O. LATE K. MUDDARADHYA
AGED ABOUT 77 YEARS
RESIDING AT NO.380
4TH 'D' MAIN, 12TH CROSS
WEST OF CHORD ROAD II STAGE
MAHALKSHMIPURAM
BANGALORE-560086
(RETIRED SELECTION GRADE LECTURER OF ZOOLOGY).

... PETITIONERS

(BY SRI OMKAR KAMBI)

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
DEPARATMENT OF HIGHER EDUCATION
M. S. BUILDINGS
DR. B. R. AMBEDKAR VEEDHI
BANGALORE-560001.
2. THE DIRECTOR OF COLLEGIATE EDUCATION
IN KARNATAKA
SHESHADRI ROAD
BANGALORE-560001.
3. THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL OF KARNATAKA
PARK HOUSE
BANGALORE-560001.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI D. ASHWATHAPPA, A.G.A.)

* * *

THESE WRIT PETITIONS ARE FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO DIRECT THE RESPONDENTS TO CONSIDER THE REPRESENTATIONS VIDE ANNEXURES - C TO C5 SERIES EXPEDITIOUSLY FOR EXTENSION/REVISION OF U.G.C. PENSIONARY BENEFITS OF THE SIXTH PAY COMMISSION TO THE PETITIONERS IN TERMS OF THE ORDER PASSED BY THE HON'BLE COURT IN W.P. NO.45106/2012 ON 12-3-2015 AND THE ORDER PASSED IN W.P. NO.100115/2015 AND CONNECTED CASES ON 16-4-2015.

THESE WRIT PETITIONS COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING:

O R D E R

The petitioners have approached this Court with an extremely limited prayer that the representations submitted by them to the respondents for extension / revision of U.G.C. pensionary benefits in terms of the order passed by this Court in W.P. No.45106 of 2012 dated 12-3-2015 should be decided by the respondents immediately.

2. It is, indeed, trite to state that once a representation or an application is submitted before the respondents, the respondents are legally bound to consider the same and to pass a reasoned order. However, they have failed to do.

3. Therefore, this Court directs the respondents to consider the petitioners' representations within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

With these directions, the writ petitions are
disposed of.

**Sd/-
JUDGE**

kvk