

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT
BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.L. MANJUNATH
AND
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI

CCC No.156 OF 2015 (CIVIL)

BETWEEN:

SRI G RAMAKRISHNAN,
S/O A GURUSAMY,
AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS,
STAFF-OFFICER,
BANK OF INDIA, 11, K G ROAD,
BENGALURU MAIN BRANCH,
BENGALURU – 560 009,
AND PRESENTLY RESIDING AT
DOOR NO. C/147,
ASHA HOMES,
1ST MAIN ROAD,
3RD CROSS,
ROBERTSON BLOCK,
RAMACHANDRAPURAM,
BENGALURU – 560 021.

... COMPLAINANT
(PARTY-IN-PERSON)

(BY MR. G RAMAKRISHNAN, PARTY-IN-PERSON)

AND:

1. THE GENERAL MANAGER
AND APPELLATE AUTHORITY,
BANK OF INDIA, HEAD OFFICE,
HUMAN RESOURCES DEPARTMENT,
STAR HOUSE C-5, G-5 BLOCK,
BANDRA, KURLA COMPLEX,
BANDRA EAST, MUMBAI – 400 051.

MR. CHARAN SINGH.

2. THE ZONAL MANAGER,
BANK OF INDIA,
ZONAL OFFICE, 11, K G ROAD,
KARNATAKA ZONE,
BENGALURU – 560 009.

MR. AGJEY KUMAR AZAD.

3. THE ASSISTANT GENERAL
MANAGER AND DISCIPLINARY
AUTHORITY, BANK OF INDIA,
KARNATAKA ZONE, 11, K G ROAD,
BENGALURU – 560 009.

MR. CHANDRA SHEKAR SAHAY.ACCUSED

(BY MRS. POOJA U, ADVOCATE FOR A2 AND A3)

THIS CCC IS FILED UNDER SECTIONS 11 AND 12 OF THE CONTEMPT OF COURTS ACT, 1971, PRAYING TO INITIATE CONTEMPT PROCEEDINGS AGAINST THE ACCUSED FOR DISOBEYING THE ORDER DATED 19.12.2014 IN W.P.NO.44159/2013 (S-R) VIDE ANNEXURE A.

THIS CCC COMING ON FOR ORDERS THIS DAY,
KL MANJUNATH, J. MADE THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER

On the ground that the direction issued by this Court in W.P. No.44159/13 dated 19.12.2014 the present contempt petition is filed.

We have heard the petitioner who is appearing as a party in person and the counsel for the respondents. The only direction issued by this Court while disposing of the writ petition is that the petitioner herein has been granted liberty to submit a representation to the respondent Bank and within two weeks from the date of order of such direction it is for the Bank to consider the representation in accordance with law. In

the complaint the petitioner has produced Annexure E at page 37, the Executive Director's letter dated 27.01.2015. From looking into the review order produced at Annexure – F, we are of the view that the Bank has considered the representation of the petitioner in detail and the request is rejected by the Bank. In such circumstances, if the petitioner is aggrieved, his remedy is elsewhere and not by way of contempt. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed. It is open for the petitioner to avail other remedies available for him in accordance with law.

Sd/-
JUDGE

Sd/-
JUDGE

ykl