

vat

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
FAMILY COURT APPEAL No. 93 OF 2015

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION No. 171 OF 2015
IN
FAMILY COURT APPEAL No. 93 OF 2015

Mrs. Parul Hitesh ThakkarAppellant
Vs.	
Hitesh ThakkarRespondent

Mr.g.N. Pandit for Appellant
Mr.Vijay Nene for Respondent

CORAM : V. M. KANADE &
Dr. SHALINI PHANSALKAR-JOSHI, JJ.

DATE : SEPTEMBER 30, 2015

P.C. :

1. The parties have amicably settled the dispute and have filed their consent terms. Consent terms are signed by the Appellant and the Respondent and their respective advocates.
2. It is agreed between the parties that the Respondent-Husband shall pay to the Appellant a sum of Rs.8,00,000/- by demand draft dated 30.9.2015 drawn on Union Bank of India, Malad (West) Branch, Mumbai towards full and final settlement. It is also agreed that the Respondent- Husband shall return the jewellery to the Appellant which

is lying in the custody of the Respondent, particulars of which are mentioned in paragraph 2 of the consent terms.

3. The Respondent-Husband has handed over the demand draft of Rs.8,00,000/- drawn on Union Bank of India to the Appellant in Court. Similarly, the Respondent- Husband has already given the jewellery which is lying with him.

4. Both the parties on the aforesaid terms have agreed to withdraw all the allegations, claims, complaints made against each other, if any, unconditionally.

5. Consent Terms are taken on record. Both the Appeal and Civil application are allowed to be withdrawn and disposed of in terms of the consent terms.

6. It appears that in the cause title of the consent terms as well as in the body of the consent terms, the Appellant is wrongly mentioned as the Petitioner. Necessary corrections be made forthwith and the corrected copy be tendered in this Court.

[Dr. SHALINI PHANSALKAR-JOSHI, J.]

[V. M. KANADE, J.]

CERTIFICATE

Certified to be true and correct of the original signed order.