C/WPPIL/81/2015 JUDGMENT

## IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD WRIT PETITION (PIL) NO. 81 of 2015

## FOR APPROVAL AND SIGNATURE:

## HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE MR. VIJAY MANOHAR SAHAI

and

## HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.P.DHOLARIA

------

1	Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?	
2	To be referred to the Reporter or not?	
3	Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?	
4	Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India or any order made thereunder?	

\_\_\_\_\_\_

GANPATBHAI BHURABHAI PATEL....Applicant(s)

Versus

NARMADA KHAN UDHYOG SAHKAI MANDLI LTD....Opponent(s)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Appearance:

MR VASAVA NARPATSINH, ADVOCATE for the Applicant(s) No. 1

\_\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE MR. VIJAY MANOHAR SAHAI

and

HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.P.DHOLARIA

C/WPPIL/81/2015 JUDGMENT

Date: 30/04/2015

**ORAL JUDGMENT** 

(PER: HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.P.DHOLARIA)

We have heard learned advocate Mr. Vasava

Narpatsinh for the petitioner.

2. This petition in the nature of public interest

litigation has been preferred praying for fresh allotment of

seats according to strength of shareholders in various

categories. In paragraph No. 2 of the petition, it has been

stated by the petitioner that he is also shareholder of the

respondent Co-operative and further he has filed his objection also before the concerned authority. Therefore, the petitioner

has personal interest also in the writ petition. Therefore, this

petition in the nature of public interest litigation is not

maintainable but it shall be open to the petitioner to seek his

remedy before appropriate forum . This petition in the nature

of public interest litigation is accordingly dismissed with a

liberty to the petitioner to seek his remedy in appropriate

forum.

(V.M.SAHAI, ACJ.)

(R.P.DHOLARIA,J.)

(pkn)