

Rajendra Prasad Chaubey Vs. State of M.P. & Ors.**30.04.2015**

Shri S.K. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mrs. Nidhi Patankar, G.A. for the respondents / State.

Heard.

Along with IA No. 7379/2014 the petitioner has filed an order of Indore Bench passed in batch of petitions including WP No. 1052/2013. On the strength of said judgment, it is contended that petitioner is similarly situated and therefore, benefits of said judgment be extended in his favour.

Mrs. Patankar submits that petitioner may prefer an application along with said judgment and submit it before respondents. If it is preferred, it will be dealt with in accordance with law.

Shri Sharma has no serious objection to his suggestion.

Considering the aforesaid, petition is disposed of by directing the petitioner to prefer a detailed representation along with copy of said judgment and submit it before respondents No. 2 to 5. In turn, said respondents are directed to examine the claim of the petitioner on the principle of parity. If petitioner is found to be similarly situated qua petitioners of Indore Bench, similar benefits be extended in his favour. If authorities decide otherwise, they shall pass a reasoned order and communicate it to the petitioner. Aforesaid exercise be completed within 90 days from the date of communication of this order. Said

2 **WP.551/2013**

Rajendra Prasad Chaubey Vs. State of M.P. & Ors.

decision will be taken without getting influenced by the impugned orders filed in this petition.

Petition is disposed of. It is made clear that this court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

(Sujoy Paul)
Judge

sarathe