O.J.C. NO.7797 of 1993

30.06.20\(\mathbb{H}\)5ard learned counsel for the parties.

The averments made in the writ petition are not supported by adequate documents. Reference has been made of T.S. No.5 of 1990 of the court of Munsif, Kuchinda, but nothing is spelt out about the same though it is submitted that the suit was filed challenging the impugned or der.

It appears in the record of OLR Ceiling Case No.9 of 1981 of the court of Tahasildar, Kuchinda that surplus land in the ceiling proceeding have already been settled in favour of th irty five landless persons since March,1990 after surplus land was taken over possession. Thou gh it is stated that the part of the land fell to the share of the petitioners in family partition and was recorded in their names in the settlement of the year 1973, but no such record of rights have been produced. No appeal or revision was filed against the impugned orders.

In the circumstances, I find no merit in the writ petition, which is accordingly dismissed.

B.K.Nayak,J