

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B. A. No. 975 of 2015

Barun Ram Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H. C. MISHRA

For the Petitioner : Mr. Vijay Shankar Prasad, Advocate

For the State : A.P.P.

2/ 30.04.2015 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the Prosecution.

The petitioner has been made accused for the offences under Sections 376-D/34 of the Indian Penal Code, in connection with Barwadih P.S. Case No. 6 of 2014 corresponding to G.R. No. 39 of 2014.

There is allegation against the petitioner and other co-accused persons to have committed gang rape upon the complainant-informant. It is also stated in the complaint petition that video recording of the occurrence was done and it was being circulated. One of the accused is the husband of the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and though the occurrence is said to have committed on 8.8.2013, but the complaint was filed belatedly after about four months. Learned counsel has accordingly, prayed for bail.

Learned counsel for the State has opposed the prayer for bail and has pointed out for the case diary that during investigation, chip of the video recording of the occurrence has been recovered from the co-accused, who is husband of the complainant-informant.

In the facts of this case, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner, Barun Ram, on bail. Accordingly, his prayer for bail is rejected.

(H. C. Mishra, J.)