

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Revision No. 80 of 2015

Manoj Kumar Sahu, S/o Dular Sahu, R/o Village-Kesipara, P.O & P.S.-
Gumla, Dist.-Gumla Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAV K. GUPTA

For the Petitioner : Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocate
For the State : A.P.P.

02/Dated: 27/02/2015

The instant Criminal Revision Application has been preferred against the order dated 14.01.2015 passed in Criminal Appeal No. 71 of 2014, whereby prayer for bail of the petitioner has been rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that offence under Sections 387/34 of the I.P.C. is not attracted against this petitioner as the alleged amount realized by extortion was not recovered from the possession of the petitioner. That the mobile was recovered from the possession of the petitioner was not used for demand of rangdari and the same belongs to his mother. That the petitioner is in custody since 11.05.2014. That there is no adverse remark in the Social Investigation Report against the petitioner and his father is ready and willing to give an undertaking to ensure proper care and supervision.

Learned counsel for the State has opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that in the impugned order various paragraphs of the case diary have been mentioned and police had recovered mobile from the possession of the petitioner and as per call detail report several calls were made to the informant.

Considering that the petitioner has remained in custody for nearly nine months and there is no adverse remark in the Social Investigation Report, he is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Principal Magistrate Juvenile Justice Board, Gumla in connection with Gumla P.S. Case No. 160 of 2014 corresponding to G.R. No. 470 of 2014, on the condition that one of the bailors shall be father of the petitioner and he shall file an undertaking to ensure proper care and supervision and well being of the petitioner and will also produce the petitioner before the Probation Officer and the Board as and when directed till conclusion of the enquiry. The Probation Officer shall submit the report regarding the conduct of the petitioner as and when directed by the Board.

Accordingly, this revision application stands allowed.

(Amitav K. Gupta, J.)

Satayendra/-