

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P. (S) No. 3464 of 2015

- 1.Om Prakash Singh, son of Sri Birendra Prasad Singh, Resident of Bhawan Nagar, Kanke road, P.O: Ranchi University, P.S.: Gonda, District: Ranchi
- 2.Sinha Sanju, son of Sri Anil Kumar Singh, Resident of Quarter No. B/948, Sector-II, P.O: Dhurwa, P.S.: Jagannarthpur, District: Ranchi.
- 3.Deo Charan Prajapati, son of Sri Munilal Prajapati, Resident of Khanij Prayogashala Bhawan, J.S.M.D.C., Station Road, P.O + P.S. Patratu, District: Ramgarh.
- 4.Yogesh Kumar, son of Sri Shatrughan Prasad Sharma, Resident of P.O: Sasang, P.S.: Chandwa, District: Latehar.
- 5.Ranjan Kumar Das, son of Sri Late Chandra Das, Resident of Saketnagar, P.O: Hinoo, P.S.: Doranda, District: Ranchi

.... **Petitioners**

Versus

1. **Jharkhand State Mineral Development Corporation Limited**, Khanij Nigam Bhawan, Nepal House Area, P.O. & P.S.- Doranda, District- Ranchi, through its Managing Director.
2. Managing Director, Jharkhand State Mineral Development Corporation Limited, Khanij Nigam Bhawan, Nepal House Area, PO. & PS.-Doranda, District-Ranchi.
3. The State of Jharkhand, through the Secretary Mines, Nepal House, P.O. & P.S.-Doranda, District-Ranchi.
4. Secretary Mines, Nepal House, P.O. & P.S.-Doranda, District-Ranchi.

.... **Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMATH PATNAIK

For the Petitioner : Mr. Pandey Neeraj Rai, Advocate

For the Respondent Nos. 1 & 2 : Mr. Rupesh Singh, Advocate

For the Respondent Nos. 3 & 4 : Mr. Manoj Kr. Choubey, J.C to S.C. III

05/30.10.2015 This writ petition has been filed for quashing the letter dated 25.01.2015 (Annexure-12), the office order dated 28.01.2015 (Annexure-13) and the 38th Board of Director's meeting dated 13.02.2015 (Annexure-15).

After some argument, confining the prayer, learned counsel for the petitioners strenuously urged that by virtue of 38th Board's Meeting of the

respondents-corporation held on 13th February, 2015, the petitioners have been reduced to the status of mere contractual employees, as they were prior to regularization, having no security of pay and service conditions and by this way they have been subjected to gross prejudice and injury.

Since the grievances of the petitioners, which appears from Annexure-15 of the writ application that the 38th Board's meeting had nullified the minutes of 37th meeting and it was directed to resubmit all the proposals placed at the 37th meeting, learned counsel for the petitioner has prayed that if a direction is issued to the respondents to convene the next Board meeting, the grievances of the petitioner shall be mitigated.

Learned counsel for the respondents without delving on the merit of the matter has raised serious objection regarding maintainability of the writ petition.

In view of the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioners to file representation, enclosing all relevant documents and copy of this order, before the respondents-authorities within one month from the date of receipt of copy of this order and the respondents shall consider their case and decide the matter afresh strictly in accordance with Government Rules/Circulars preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of representation of the petitioners.

With the aforesaid observations and directions, this writ petition is disposed of.

(Pramath Patnaik, J.)