

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN  
AT JAIPUR BENCH, JAIPUR

S.B. CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION NO.6283/2014.

Mormal Vs. State of Rajasthan

Date of Order :: 30.5.2014

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE PRASHANT KUMAR AGARWAL

Mr. V. S. Chouhan, for the petitioner.

Mr. N. S. Dhakar, Public Prosecutor.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Apprehending his arrest, the accused-petitioner has moved this application for grant of anticipatory bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in respect of FIR No.150/2013 registered at Police Station Gopalgarh, District Bharatpur for the offences under Section 3/25 of Arms Act readwith Section 16/54 of Rajasthan Excise Act.

On consideration of submissions made on behalf of the respective parties and the material made available for my perusal and more particularly looking to the fact that the petitioner has been involved in the present case only by the reason that he is registered owner of the vehicle whereby the recovered illegal liquor was being transported by the co-accused and also looking to the quantity of the recovered liquor, but without expressing any final opinion on the merit and de-merit of the case, I deem it just and proper to grant indulgence of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Consequently, the application for anticipatory bail is allowed.

The S.H.O./I.O./Arresting Authority, Police Station Gopalgarh, District Bharatpur in F.I.R. No.150/2013 is directed that in the event of

arrest of the petitioner Mormal S/o Piroja, he shall be released on bail, provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- each to his satisfaction on the following conditions :-

(i). that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

(ii). that the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or any police officer, and

(iii). that the petitioner shall not leave India without previous permission of the court.

(PRASHANT KUMAR AGARWAL ),J.

A.Arora/-  
Item No.151.

*All corrections made in the judgment/order have been incorporated in the judgment/order being emailed."*

AMIT ARORA  
PERSONAL ASSISTANT

सत्यमेव जयते