

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 13300 of 2014.  
Date of Decision : 29.08.2014.

Mohit Vats and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate with  
Mr. Tej Pal Dhull, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

\*\*\*

*Tejinder Singh Dhindsa, J. (Oral)*

Written statement on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2 has been filed in Court today and the same is taken on record. Copy stands furnished to the learned counsel for the petitioners.

With the consent of the counsel for the parties, the main petition is taken up for disposal today itself.

The petitioners, who are working under the Centrally Sponsored Scheme/Project of Vocationalization of High Secondary Education, have filed the instant writ petition raising an apprehension that their engagement on contractual basis is going to be dispensed with shortly and they would be replaced by another set of contractual employees.

The apprehension raised by the petitioners in the writ petition has been allayed in the light of the averments made in para 2 of the written statement and which reads in the following terms :-

*“That it is submitted in this context that the averments made by the petitioners are utterly false,*

*devoid of facts as the respondents have not advertised the posts for the replacement of the petitioners who had been appointed on contractual basis against institutional positions under the Centrally Sponsored Project for introducing vocational courses in integration with academic stream to empower the students with employability skills in the World of work. The advertisement is for resultant vacant/newly created positions and in no way for their replacement. Neither the petitioners have been sought to be replaced nor their package has been proposed to be reduced."*

In the light of such categorical stand taken on behalf of the State, the present writ petition is disposed of as not pressed.

It is, however, observed that continuation of the petitioners in their present engagement on contractual basis would be subject to their work and conduct as also availability of workload.

Needless to mention that in case the State Government were to resort to a process of regular appointment, the regular appointees would have a prior right of holding the post in question.

Disposed of.

**August 29, 2014.**  
*kanchan*

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)**  
**JUDGE**