CRM No. M-9298 of 2014

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc.No. M-9298 of 2014

Date of Decision: 29.4.2014

Ishwar Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MEHINDER SINGH SULLAR

Present:-Mr. Anshuman Dalal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.H.S.Deol, Addl. AG Haryana for the respondent-State

Mehinder Singh Sullar, J. (Oral)

Petitioner Ishwar Singh son of Suraj Bhan (father-in-law), has

preferred the instant petition for the grant of concession of regular bail,

invoking the provisions of section 439 Cr.PC, in a case registered against

him along with his other main co-accused, namely, Sonu (husband), Bimla

(mother-in-law), Mukesh, brother-in-law (Jeth) and Neeraj, sister-in-law

(Jethani) of deceased Shabnam alias Sanju, vide FIR No.264 dated

29.8.2013, for the commission of an offence punishable u/s 304-B read with

section 34 IPC by the police of Police Station Kalanaur, District Rohtak.

2. Notice of the petition was issued to the State.

3. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, going through

the record with their valuable help and considering the entire matter deeply,

to my mind, the present petition for regular bail deserves to be accepted in

this context.

4. Precisely, the prosecution claimed that the marriage of

Shabnam alias Sanju d/o complainant Kasturi Devi, was solemnized with

main accused Sonu in the month of April, 2007, according to Hindu rites

CRM No. M-9298 of 2014

2

and ceremonies. On 25.8.2013, Shabnam alias Sanju died an unnatural

death. It was claimed that soon before her death, the accused had treated her

with cruelty in connection with and on account of demand of dowry.

5. It is not a matter of dispute that, initially, the case was

registered against Sonu (husband) and Ishwar Singh & Bimla (parents-in-

law), Mukesh, brother-in-law (Jeth) and Neeraj, sister-in-law (Jethani) of

the deceased. During the course of investigation, Bimla (mother-in-law),

Mukesh, brother-in-law (Jeth) and Neeraj, sister-in-law (Jethani) were found

innocent and were exonerated by the police. After completion of the

investigation, the final police report (challan) was submitted only against

main accused Sonu (husband) and petitioner Ishwar Singh (father-in-law).

Very vague and general allegations of demand of dowry, cash and

motorcycle are assigned to the petitioner. He is father-in-law of the

deceased and he was not going to be benefitted, in any manner, from the

demand of motorcycle and cash by the husband of the deceased. Neither any

specific role nor particular instance of demand of dowry are assigned to the

petitioner. All the allegations of demand of dowry are attributed to main

accused Sonu (husband) (non-petitioner). In that eventuality, whether all the

essential ingredients of indicated offence are complete against the petitioner

or not, inter-alia, would be a moot point to be decided during the course of

trial by the trial Court.

6. Be that as it may, the petitioner was arrested on 5.11.2013.

Since then, he is in judicial custody and no useful purpose would be served

to further detain him in jail. There is no history of his previous involvement

in any other criminal case. Since, not even a single witness has yet been

examined by the prosecution, so, the final conclusion of trial will naturally

Arvind Kumar Sharma 2014.04.29 18:29 I attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document Chandigarh CRM No. M-9298 of 2014

3

take a long time.

7. In the light of aforesaid reasons, taking into consideration the

totality of the facts & circumstances, emanating from the record, as

discussed here-in-above and without commenting further anything on

merits, lest it may prejudice the case of either side during the course of trial

of the main case, the instant petition for regular bail is hereby accepted. The

petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing adequate bail

and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

8. Needless to mention that nothing observed here-in-above

would reflect on the merits of the main case, in any manner, as the same has

been so recorded for the limited purpose of deciding the present petition for

regular bail only.

29.4.2014 **AS**

Sd/-(Mehinder Singh Sullar) Judge