CR No. 4665 of 2007

1

## IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 4665 of 2007

Date of Decision: September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2014

Baljit Kaur

.... Petitioner

Versus

Mehar Singh and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DR. BHARAT BHUSHAN PARSOON

Present Mr. P.K.Gupta, Advocate,

for the petitioner.

None for the respondents.

## Dr. BHARAT BHUSHAN PARSOON, J. [Oral]

Despite service, no one is present on behalf of the respondents.

- 2. As per report of the Registry, lower Court record had been summoned vide order dated 13.09.2007 of this Court. There is an application dated 8.2.2006 [Annexure P/1] by plaintiff Smt. Baljit Kaur whereby three official witnesses were sought to be summoned.
- 3. Perusal of the lower Court record reveals that process fee, diet money and other expenses for summoning of witnesses had already been deposited by the plaintiff, pursuant to the orders of lower Court dated 9.6.2006.
- 4. Impugned order vide which evidence of the plaintiff was closed is of 26.4.2007. Lower Court record further reveals that there was an appeal pending against the order of the lower Court passed on an application which had been moved by the plaintiff under Order XXXIX

PARKASH SOM 2014.10.01 14:02 I attest to the accuracy and authenticity of this document CR No. 4665 of 2007

2

the lower Court reveal that the file had remained with the Appellate Court

Rules 1 and 2 CPC. Orders dated 1.3.2007, 18.4.2007 and 04.06.2007 of

and had been received by the lower Court only on 10.8.2007. It is thus, not

clear as to how in the absence of the file, the lower Court had passed the

impugned order on 26.4.2007 closing the evidence of the plaintiff even

when the list of witnesses had been furnished and costs for summoning the

said witnesses had already been deposited.

5. Sequelly, the impugned order is set-aside. Petitioner-plaintiff

is allowed to lead evidence in terms of application, Annexure P/1. Counsel

for the petitioner undertakes to complete the entire evidence within one

month. The trial Court shall conclude the entire adjudication within a period

of six months from the date of receipt of copy of this order, after giving

opportunity to the defendants to lead their evidence. The parties shall

appear before the lower Court on 27.10.2014.

6. The revision petition is allowed in the above terms.

(Dr. BHARAT BHUSHAN PARSOON)
JUDGE

September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2014 som

PARKASH SOM 2014.10.01 14:02 I attest to the accuracy and authenticity of this document