

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CR No. 2746 of 2014(O&M)
Date of decision: 29.08.2014**

Ashok Kumar Jain ... Petitioner
Versus
Jaininder Kumar Jain ... Respondent

* * *

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Mr. Gopal Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Jain, Advocate
for the respondent.

* * *

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J.

On 23.4.2014, the following order was passed:-

“It is contended that the review application filed against the order dated 14.3.2014 is pending adjudication on 25.4.2014 and yet the warrants of possession have been issued pursuant to the order dated 14.3.2014 under review.

Notice of motion for 26.5.2014.

In the meanwhile, status quo regarding possession shall be maintained.

Parties are directed to be present in Court to explore the possibility of amicable settlement.

A copy of this order be given dasti on payment of usual charges.”

Learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that on the one hand, the review application is not being pressed/decided because of the pendency of this petition and on the other hand, the respondent is unable to take possession as per the decree. It is submitted that the status quo granted by this Court with regard to the possession may be allowed to continue, but review application may be ordered to be decided as early as possible

preferably on some particular date.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the facts and circumstances, this petition is, hereby, disposed of with direction to the trial Court seized of review application filed by the petitioner to decide the same on the date, already fixed i.e. 30.8.2014 and if it is not possible than on the adjourned date and till then, the parties shall maintain status quo with regard to the possession till the review application is decided.

August 29, 2014

monika verma

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)

JUDGE