

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.BHAVADASAN

TUESDAY, THE 30TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2014/9TH POUSHA, 1936

Cr1.MC.No. 6917 of 2014 ()

-----  
CC 423/2014 of J.M.F.C.-II, PUNALUR  
CRIME NO. 965/2013 OF PUNALUR POLICE STATION  
-----

PETITIONER(S)/ACCUSED :-

-----

RAFEEL, AGED 38 YEARS  
S/O.HANEEFA RAWTHER, KUNNUVILA PADINJATTATHIL VEEDU  
THEVIYODU, VENCHAMBU, KARAVALOOR VILLAGE  
PATHANAPURAM TALUK, KOLLAM DISTRICT.

BY ADVS.SRI.K.SIJU  
SMT.S.SEETHA

RESPONDENT(S)/COMPLAINANT & STATE :-

-----

1. STATE OF KERALA  
THROUGH THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE  
PUNALUR POLICE STATION  
REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR  
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM-682 031.

2. RAJEENA, AGED 30 YEARS  
D/O.JAMEELA BEEVI, AMINA MANZIL, THEVIYODU  
VENCHAMBU, KARAVALOOR VILLAGE  
KOLLAM DISTRICT-691 333.

R2 BY ADV. SRI.A.MUHAMMED RAFFI  
R1 BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.R.JITHESH

THIS CRIMINAL MISC. CASE HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON  
30-12-2014, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

jvt

Cr1.MC.No. 6917 of 2014 ()

-----

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS :-

-----

ANNEXURE-1.THE FIR WITH FIS AND COMPLAINT IN CRIME NO.965/2013 OF  
PUNALUR POLICE STATION.

ANNEXURE-2.TRUE COPY OF THE FINAL REPRT IN CRIME NO.965/2013 OF  
PUNALUR POLICE STATION.

ANNEXURE-3.THE AFFIDAVIT SWORN BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT/DE-FACTO  
COMPLAINANT REGARDING COMPROMISE DATED 29/11/2014.

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS :- NIL

-----

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

**P.BHAVADASAN, J.**

-----  
**Crl.M.C. No.6917 of 2014**  
-----

**Dated this the 30<sup>th</sup> day of December 2014**

**O R D E R**

On a complaint filed by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent, a crime was registered as Crime No.965 of 2013 by the Punalur Police for the offence punishable under Sec.498A of IPC. After completion of investigation, final report was laid, cognizance was taken as C.C.No.423 of 2014 by JFCM Court-II, Punalur.

2. The petitioner now points out that he has settled all differences and disputes between the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent and the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent has no further grievance in the matter. In support of the above plea, the petitioner relies on the affidavit said to have been sworn to by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent before this Court.

3. The 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent before this Court is represented by her counsel, who accepts that an affidavit has been sworn to by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent and stands by the statements contained therein. In the light of the fact that in the affidavit the de facto complainant has specifically stated that she does not intend to proceed further with the matter, it would only be a sheer waste

Crl.M.C. No.6917 of 2014

-: 2 :-

of time, if continue the proceedings.

Hence, this petition is allowed. All further proceedings against the petitioner in C.C.No.423 of 2014 pending before JFCM Court-II, Punalur including the final report shall stand quashed.

**Sd/-  
P.BHAVADASAN, JUDGE.**

Jvt